

LIE NILPOTENCY INDICES OF MODULAR GROUP ALGEBRAS

V. BOVDI AND J. B. SRIVASTAVA

ABSTRACT. Let K be a field of positive characteristic p and KG the group algebra of a group G . It is known that if KG is Lie nilpotent then its upper (or lower) Lie nilpotency index is at most $|G'| + 1$, where $|G'|$ is the order of the commutator subgroup. The class of groups G for which these indices are maximal or almost maximal have already been determined. Here we determine G for which upper (or lower) Lie nilpotency index is the next highest possible.

1. INTRODUCTION AND RESULTS

Let R be an associative algebra with identity. The algebra R can be regarded as a Lie algebra, called the associated Lie algebra of R , via the Lie commutator $[x, y] = xy - yx$, for every $x, y \in R$. Set $[x_1, \dots, x_n] = [[x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}], x_n]$, where $x_1, \dots, x_n \in R$. The n -th lower Lie power $R^{[n]}$ of R is the associative ideal generated by all the Lie commutators $[x_1, \dots, x_n]$, where $R^{[1]} = R$ and $x_1, \dots, x_n \in R$. By induction, we define the n -th upper Lie power $R^{(n)}$ of R as the associative ideal generated by all the Lie commutators $[x, y]$, where $x \in R^{(n-1)}$, $y \in R$ and $R^{(1)} = R$.

An algebra R is called *lower Lie nilpotent* (respectively *upper Lie nilpotent*) if there exists m such that $R^{[m]} = 0$ ($R^{(m)} = 0$). The minimal integers m, n such that $R^{[m]} = 0$ and $R^{(n)} = 0$ are called *the lower Lie nilpotency index* and *the upper Lie nilpotency index* of R and these are denoted by $t_L(R)$ and $t^L(R)$, respectively.

We would like to mention that N. Gupta and F. Levin [9] have given an example of algebra R for which $t_L(R) = 3$ but R is not upper Lie nilpotent. Moreover in that paper, it was shown that if R is a lower Lie nilpotent ring of class n , then the unit group $U(R)$ is nilpotent of class at most n .

An algebra R is called *Lie hypercentral* if for each sequence $\{a_i\}$ of elements of R there exists some n such that $[a_1, \dots, a_n] = 0$.

Let $U(KG)$ be the group of units of a group algebra KG . For the noncommutative modular group algebra KG the following Theorem due to A.A. Bovdi, I.I. Khripta, I.B.S. Passi, D.S. Passman and etc. (see [2, 11, 13]) is well known: The following statements are equivalent: (a) KG is lower Lie nilpotent (b) KG is Lie hypercentral; (c) KG is upper Lie nilpotent (d) $U(KG)$ is nilpotent; (e) $\text{char}(K) = p > 0$, G is nilpotent and its commutator subgroup G' is a finite p -group.

It is well known (see [17]) that, if KG is Lie nilpotent, then

$$t_L(KG) \leq t^L(KG) \leq |G'| + 1.$$

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 16S34, 17B30.

Key words and phrases. Group algebras, Lie nilpotency index, Lie dimension subgroups.

The research was supported by OTKA grants No. T 43034, No. K 61007.

According to [1], if $\text{char}(K) > 3$, then $t_L(KG) = t^L(KG)$. But the question of when $t_L(KG) = t^L(KG)$ for $\text{char}(K) = 2, 3$ is in general still open.

A. Shalev in [16] began the study of the question when the Lie nilpotent group algebra KG has the maximal lower Lie nilpotency index. In [6, 16] was given the complete description of such Lie nilpotent group algebras. In [4, 5] we obtained the full description of the Lie nilpotent group algebras KG with upper/lower almost maximal Lie nilpotent indices.

Our main results in this paper are the following theorems.

Theorem 1. *Let KG be a Lie nilpotent group algebra over a field K of positive characteristic p . Then $t^L(KG) = |G'| - 4p + 5$ if and only if one of the following conditions holds:*

- (i) $p = 2$, $\text{cl}(G) = 2$ and $G' \cong C_2 \times C_2 \times C_2$;
- (ii) $p = 5$, $\text{cl}(G) = 2$ and $G' \cong C_5 \times C_5$.

Moreover, $t_L(KG) = t^L(KG)$.

Theorem 2. *Let KG be a Lie nilpotent group algebra over a field K of positive characteristic p . Then $t^L(KG) = |G'| - 3p + 4$ if and only if one of the following conditions holds:*

- (i) $p = 2$, $\text{cl}(G) = 3$, $G' \cong C_2 \times C_2 \times C_2$ and $\gamma_3(G)$ is cyclic;
- (ii) $p = 2$, $G' \cong C_4 \times C_2$ and $\gamma_2(G)^2 \subseteq \gamma_3(G)$;
- (iii) $p = 5$, $\text{cl}(G) = 3$ and $G' \cong C_5 \times C_5$.

Moreover, $t_L(KG) = t^L(KG)$.

Theorem 3. *Let KG be a Lie nilpotent group algebra over a field K of positive characteristic p . Then $t^L(KG) = |G'| - 2p + 3$ if and only if one of the following conditions holds:*

- (i) $p = 2$, $\text{cl}(G) = 3$, $G' \cong C_2 \times C_2 \times C_2$ and $\gamma_3(G) \cong C_2 \times C_2$;
- (ii) $p = 2$, $G' = \langle a \rangle \times \langle b \rangle \cong C_4 \times C_2$ and $\gamma_3(G)$ is one of the following groups: $\langle b \rangle$, $\langle a^2 \rangle \times \langle b \rangle$, $\langle a^2 b \rangle$;
- (iii) $p = 3$, $\text{cl}(G) = 3$ and $G' \cong C_3 \times C_3$.

Moreover, $t_L(KG) = t^L(KG)$.

Theorem 4. *Let KG be a Lie nilpotent group algebra over a field K of positive characteristic p . Then*

- (i) $t_L(KG) = |G'| - 4p + 5$ if and only if G and K satisfies one of the conditions (i)–(ii) of Theorem 1.
- (ii) $t_L(KG) = |G'| - 3p + 4$ if and only if G and K satisfies one of the conditions (i)–(iii) of Theorem 2.
- (iii) $t_L(KG) = |G'| - 2p + 3$ if and only if G and K satisfies one of the conditions (i)–(iii) of Theorem 3.

Finally, by Xiankun Du's and I. Khripta's Theorems ([8, 11]) we get

Corollary 1. *Let KG be the group algebra of a finite p -group G over a field K of $\text{char}(K) = p > 0$ and $U(KG)$ its group of units. Then the nilpotency class of $U(KG)$ is equal to*

- (i) $|G'| - 4p + 4$ if and only if G and K satisfies one of the conditions (i)–(ii) of Theorem 1.
- (ii) $|G'| - 3p + 3$ if and only if G and K satisfies one of the conditions (i)–(iii) of Theorem 2.

- (iii) $|G'| - 2p + 2$ if and only if G and K satisfies one of the conditions (i)–(iii) of Theorem 3.

2. PRELIMINARIES

We use the standard notation: C_n is the cyclic group of order n ; $\zeta(G)$ is the center of a group G and $(g, h) = g^{-1}h^{-1}gh$ ($g, h \in G$); $\gamma_i(G)$ is the i -th term of the lower central series of G , i.e.

$$\gamma_1(G) = G, \quad \gamma_{i+1}(G) = (\gamma_i(G), G) \quad (i \geq 1).$$

Let K be a field of positive characteristic p , G a group and put

$$\mathfrak{D}_{(m)}(G) = G \cap (1 + KG^{(m)}), \quad (m \geq 1).$$

The subgroup $\mathfrak{D}_{(m)}(G)$ is called the m -th Lie dimension subgroup of KG and by Theorem 2.8 ([12], p.48) we have:

$$(1) \quad \mathfrak{D}_{(m+1)}(G) = \begin{cases} G & \text{if } m = 0; \\ G' & \text{if } m = 1; \\ (\mathfrak{D}_{(m)}(G), G)(\mathfrak{D}_{(\lceil \frac{m}{p} \rceil + 1)}(G))^p & \text{if } m \geq 2, \end{cases}$$

where $\lceil \frac{m}{p} \rceil$ is the upper integer part of $\frac{m}{p}$.

By [12] (see p.46) there exists an explicit expression for $\mathfrak{D}_{(m+1)}(G)$:

$$(2) \quad \mathfrak{D}_{(m+1)}(G) = \prod_{(j-1)p^i \geq m} \gamma_j(G)^{p^i}.$$

Put $p^{d_{(k)}} = [\mathfrak{D}_{(k)}(G) : \mathfrak{D}_{(k+1)}(G)]$, where $k \geq 1$. If KG is Lie nilpotent, such that $|\gamma_2(G)| = p^n$, then according to Jennings' theory [14] for the Lie dimension subgroups, we get

$$(3) \quad t^L(KG) = 2 + (p-1) \sum_{m \geq 1} m d_{(m+1)},$$

and it is easy to check that

$$(4) \quad \sum_{m \geq 2} d_{(m)} = n.$$

For $x, y, z \in G$ we shall use the following well known formula

$$(5) \quad (x \cdot y, z) = (x, z)(x, z, y)(y, z).$$

We begin with the following results by A. Shalev (see Corollary 4.5 and Corollary 4.6 of [15] and Theorem 3.9 of [16]):

Lemma 1. *Let K be a field with $\text{char}(K) = p > 0$ and G a nilpotent group such that $|G'| = p^n$ and $\exp(G') = p^l$.*

- (i) *If $d_{(m+1)} = 0$ and m is a power of p , then $\mathfrak{D}_{(m+1)}(G) = \langle 1 \rangle$.*
- (ii) *If $d_{(m+1)} = 0$ and p^{l-1} divides m , then $\mathfrak{D}_{(m+1)}(G) = \langle 1 \rangle$.*
- (iii) *If $p \geq 5$ and $t_L(KG) < p^n + 1$ then $t_L(KG) \leq p^{n-1} + 2p - 1$.*
- (iv) *If $d_{(l+1)} = 0$ for some $l < pm$ then $d_{(pm+1)} \leq d_{(m+1)}$.*
- (v) *If $d_{(m+1)} = 0$ then $d_{(l+1)} = 0$ for all $l \geq m$ such that $\nu_{p'}(l) \geq \nu_{p'}(m)$, where $\nu_{p'}(x)$ is the maximal divisor of x which relative prime to p .*

Fist of all we proof the following:

Lemma 2. *Let K be a field with $\text{char}(K) = p > 0$ and G a nilpotent group such that $|G'| = p^n$. Then $t^L(KG) = |G'| - 4p + 5$ if and only if one of the following condition holds:*

- (i) $p = 2, \quad n = 3 \quad \text{and} \quad d_{(2)} = 3;$
- (ii) $p = 3, \quad n = 3 \quad \text{and} \quad d_{(2)} = d_{(4)} = d_{(6)} = 1;$
- (iii) $p = 5, \quad n = 2 \quad \text{and} \quad d_{(2)} = 2.$

Proof. Let $t^L(KG) = |G'| - 4p + 5$, where $p = \text{char}(K)$. If either $n = 1$ or $p = n = 2$, then according to Theorem 1 of [6], and to Corollary 1 of [5], we get $t^L(KG) \geq |G'|$. So in the sequel we assume that either $p = 2$ and $n \geq 3$ or $n \geq 2$.

Let $p = 2, n = 3$ and suppose that $d_{(2)} \leq 2$. By (2) we have that $t^L(KG) \geq 6 > 5$, so only possible case is $d_{(2)} = 3$.

Let $p = 2, n = 4$ and consider the following cases: $d_{(2)}, d_{(3)} \in \{1, 2, 3\}$. By (2), (3) and (i) of Lemma 1 it is easy to compute that one possible solution is: $d_{(2)} = d_{(3)} = 1$ and $d_{(5)} = 2$ which in contradictions with (iv) of Lemma 1.

Now, let $p = 2$ and $n \geq 5$. We prove that $d_{(p^i+1)} > 0$ for $0 \leq i \leq n - 2$.

Suppose that $d_{(2^{n-2}+1)} = 0$ and $d_{(2^{n-3}+1)} \neq 0$. By (i) of Lemma 1 we have that $\mathfrak{D}_{(2^{n-2}+1)}(G) = \langle 1 \rangle$ and $d_{(r)} = 0$ for every $r \geq 2^{n-2} + 1$. Moreover, if $d_{(q+1)} \neq 0$, then $q < 2^{n-2}$. According to (3) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} t^L(KG) &= 2 + \sum_{i=0}^{n-3} 2^i + \sum_{i=0}^{n-3} 2^i (d_{(2^i+1)} - 1) + \sum_{q \neq 2^i} q d_{(q+1)} \\ &< 1 + (1 + \sum_{i=0}^{n-3} (d_{(2^i+1)} - 1) + \sum_{q \neq 2^i} d_{(q+1)}) \cdot 2^{n-2} \\ &= 1 + (1 + n - (n - 2)) \cdot 2^{n-2} < 2^n - 3, \end{aligned}$$

which is contradicts to $t^L(KG) = |G'| - 3$.

Therefore $d_{(p^i+1)} > 0$ for $0 \leq i \leq n - 2$ and by (3) and (4) there exists $\alpha \geq 2$ such that $d_{(\alpha+1)} = 1$, and

$$t^L(KG) = 2 + \sum_{i=0}^{n-2} 2^i + \alpha d_{(\alpha+1)} = 1 + 2^{n-1} + \alpha.$$

Since $t^L(KG) = |G'| - 3$, it must be $\alpha = 2^{n-1} - 4$. Put $m = 2^{n-3} - 1$ and $l = 4m$. Since $\nu_{2'}(l) = \nu_{2'}(m)$ and $d_{((2^{n-3}-1)+1)} = 0$, by (v) of Lemma 1 we get $d_{((2^n-4)+1)} = 0$, a contradiction.

Let now $p = 3$ and $n \geq 4$. Using the same arguments as in the previous case, we get $d_{(3^i+1)} = d_{((3^{n-1}-4)+1)} = 1$ for $0 \leq i \leq n - 2$. Since $\exp(G') \leq 3^{n-1}$ and $3^{n-2} \mid 2 \cdot 3^{n-2}$, by (ii) of Lemma 1 it yields that $\mathfrak{D}_{(2 \cdot 3^{n-2}+1)}(G) = \langle 1 \rangle$, so $d_{((3^{n-1}-4)+1)} = 0$, a contradiction.

If $n = 2$, then by (3) we obtain that $t^L(KG) \geq 6 > 2$.

Let $p = n = 3$. According to the case when $p = 2$ and $n = 4$, it is easy to check that $d_{(2)} = d_{(4)} = d_{(6)} = 1$ and the proof for $p = 3$ is complete.

Let $p = 5$. The inequality $t^L(KG) = 5^n - 15 \leq 5^{n-1} + 9$ is verified for $n = 2$. Suppose $d_{(2)} = 1$. According to (3) we get $t^L(KG) \geq 14 > 10$.

Finally, let $p \geq 7$. Since $p^{n-1} > 6$, $p^n - 4p + 5 > p^{n-1} + 2p - 1$, so by (iii) of Lemma 1 it follows that this case is impossible. $\square$

Lemma 3. *Let K be a field with $\text{char}(K) = p \geq 3$ and G a nilpotent group, such that $|G'| = p^n$ and $t^L(KG) = p^n - 4p + 5$. Then $p = 5$, $\text{cl}(G) = 2$ and $G' \cong C_5 \times C_5$.*

Proof. Let $\text{char}(K) = p \geq 3$ and $t^L(KG) = p^n - 4p + 5$. Clearly, either (iii) or (iv) of Lemma 2 holds, so we consider the following cases:

Case 1. Let $p = n = 3$ and $d_{(2)} = d_{(4)} = d_{(6)} = 1$. If $\text{cl}(G) = 2$ by Theorem 3.2 of [3] we have that $t^L(KG) = t(G') + 1 \leq 12 < 20$. So assume that $\text{cl}(G) \geq 3$. If $\exp(G') = 3$ we obtain that $\mathfrak{D}_{(3)}(G) = \gamma_3(G)$ and $\mathfrak{D}_{(4)}(G) = \gamma_4(G)$, so $\gamma_3(G) = \langle 1 \rangle$, a contradiction.

Now let $\exp(G') = 3^2$. If $\text{cl}(G) = 3$ then $\mathfrak{D}_{(2)}(G) = \gamma_2(G) \cong C_9 \times C_3$ and $\mathfrak{D}_{(3)}(G) = \gamma_3(G) \cdot \gamma_2(G)^3 = \{C_9, C_3 \times C_3\}$.

If $\mathfrak{D}_{(3)}(G)$ is not cyclic we have that $\mathfrak{D}_{(4)}(G) = (\mathfrak{D}_{(3)}(G), G) = \mathfrak{D}_{(3)}(G)$ which is impossible. In the other case, we obtain that

$$\mathfrak{D}_{(4)}(G) = (\mathfrak{D}_{(3)}(G), G) \cdot \mathfrak{D}_{(3)}(G)^3 = \mathfrak{D}_{(3)}(G)$$

and again it holds that $(\mathfrak{D}_{(3)}(G), G) = \mathfrak{D}_{(3)}(G)$.

Finally suppose that $\text{cl}(G) = 4$. Clearly $\mathfrak{D}_{(3)}(G) = \gamma_3(G)$ and

$$\mathfrak{D}_{(4)}(G) = (\mathfrak{D}_{(3)}(G), G) \cdot \gamma_2(G)^3 = \gamma_4(G) \cdot \gamma_2(G)^3 = \gamma_3(G),$$

so $\gamma_2(G)^3 \subseteq \gamma_3(G)$ and by Theorem III.2.13 ([10], p.266), we have that $\gamma_3(G)^3 \subseteq \gamma_4(G)$. Now $\mathfrak{D}_{(5)}(G) = \gamma_4(G) \cdot \gamma_3(G)^3 = \gamma_4(G)$ and

$$\mathfrak{D}_{(6)}(G) = \gamma_5(G) \cdot \gamma_3(G)^3 = \gamma_4(G),$$

so $\gamma_3(G) \cong C_9$ and $\mathfrak{D}_{(7)}(G) = \gamma_3(G)^3 = \mathfrak{D}_{(6)}(G)$, which is a contradiction.

Case 2. Let $p = 5$, $n = 2$ and $d_{(2)} = 2$. Obviously, $\gamma_2(G) \cong C_5 \times C_5$. If $\text{cl}(G) = 3$, then $\mathfrak{D}_{(3)}(G) = \gamma_3(G) \neq \langle 1 \rangle$, a contradiction. $\square$

Proof of the Theorem 1. Follows from Lemmas 2- 3. The equality $t_L(KG) = t^L(KG)$ is a consequence of (ii) of Theorem 3.2 of [3].

Lemma 4. *Let K be a field with $\text{char}(K) = p > 0$ and G a nilpotent group such that $|G'| = p^n$. Then $t^L(KG) = |G'| - 3p + 4$ if and only if one of the following condition holds:*

- (i) $p = 2$, $n = 3$, $d_{(2)} = 2$ and $d_{(3)} = 1$;
- (ii) $p = 3$, $n = 3$ and $d_{(2)} = d_{(4)} = d_{(7)} = 1$;
- (iii) $p = 5$, $n = 2$ and $d_{(2)} = d_{(3)} = 1$.

Proof. As in Lemma 2 we can assume that either $p = 2$ and $n \geq 3$ or $n \geq 2$.

Let $p = 2$, $n = 3$ and suppose that $d_{(2)} = 1$. By (3) we have that $t^L(KG) \geq 7 > 6$, so only possible case is $d_{(2)} = 2$ and by (i) of Lemma 1 the statement is holds.

Let $n \geq 4$. Using the same arguments as in the proof of the Lemma 2 we obtain that $d_{(2^i+1)} = d_{((2^{n-1}-3)+1)} = 1$ and $d_{(j)} = 0$, where $0 \leq i \leq n-2$, $j \neq 2^i+1$, $j \neq 2^{n-1}-2$ and $j > 1$. The subgroup $H = \mathfrak{D}_{(2^{n-1}-2)}(G)$ is central of order 2 and from (2) it yields

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{D}_{(m+1)}(G)/H &= \prod_{(j-1)2^i \geq m} \gamma_j(G)^{2^i} / H \\ (6) \quad &= \prod_{(j-1)2^i \geq m} \gamma_j(G/H)^{2^i} = \mathfrak{D}_{(m+1)}(G/H). \end{aligned}$$

Put $2^{\bar{d}_{(k)}} = [\mathfrak{D}_{(k)}(G/H) : \mathfrak{D}_{(k+1)}(G/H)]$ for $k \geq 1$. It is easy to check that $\bar{d}_{(2^i+1)} = 1$ and $\bar{d}_{(j)} = 0$, where $0 \leq i \leq n-2$, $j \neq 2^i + 1$ and $j > 1$.

Clearly, $|\gamma_2(G/H)| = 2^{n-1}$ and $t^L(K[G/H]) = |\gamma_2(G/H)| + 1$. So by Lemma 3 of [6] and by Theorem 1 of [6] the group $\gamma_2(G/H)$ is either a cyclic 2-group or $C_2 \times C_2$. If $\gamma_2(G/H)$ is a cyclic 2-group, then by (a) of Lemma III.7.1 ([10], p.300) we have that $\gamma_2(G)$ is abelian, so it is isomorphic to either $C_{2^{n-1}} \times C_2$ or C_{2^n} . If $\gamma_2(G)$ is cyclic, then by Theorem 1 of [6] we get $t^L(KG) = |\gamma_2(G)| + 1$, so we do not consider this case. On the other hand, if $\gamma_2(G/H) \cong C_2 \times C_2$, then $|\gamma_2(G)| = 8$. Since there are no nilpotent groups with nonabelian commutator subgroup of order 8 (see [7]), we can put that $\gamma_2(G) = \langle a, b \mid a^{2^{n-1}} = b^2 = 1 \rangle \cong C_{2^{n-1}} \times C_2$.

According to (1) it holds

$$\mathfrak{D}_{(2)}(G) = \gamma_2(G), \quad \mathfrak{D}_{(3)}(G) = \gamma_3(G) \cdot \langle a^2 \rangle.$$

Since $|\mathfrak{D}_{(2)}(G)/\mathfrak{D}_{(3)}(G)| = 2$ we obtain one of the following cases:

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_3(G) &= \langle a \rangle, & \gamma_3(G) &= \langle ab \rangle, & \gamma_3(G) &= \langle b \rangle, \\ \gamma_3(G) &= \langle a^{2^j} b \rangle, & \gamma_3(G) &= \langle a^{2^j} \rangle, & (1 \leq j \leq n-2). \end{aligned}$$

We consider each of these cases:

Case 1. Let either $\gamma_3(G) = \langle a \rangle$ or $\gamma_3(G) = \langle ab \rangle$. Since $\gamma_2(G)^2 \subset \gamma_3(G)$, by Theorem III.2.13 ([10], p.266), we have that $\gamma_k(G)^2 \subseteq \gamma_{k+1}(G)$ for every $k \geq 2$. It follows that $\gamma_2(G)^2 = \gamma_4(G)$. Moreover, $\gamma_3(G)^2 \subseteq \gamma_5(G)$. Indeed, the elements of the form (x, y) , where $x \in \gamma_2(G)$ and $y \in G$ are generators of $\gamma_3(G)$, so we have to prove that $(x, y)^2 \in \gamma_5(G)$. By (5)

$$(x^2, y) = (x, y)(x, y, x)(x, y) = (x, y)^2(x, y, x)^{(x, y)}$$

and $(x^2, y), (x, y, x)^{(x, y)} \in \gamma_5(G)$, so $(x, y)^2 \in \gamma_5(G)$ and $\gamma_3(G)^2 \subseteq \gamma_5(G)$. Thus $|\gamma_3(G)| = 2$, a contradiction.

Case 2. Let $\gamma_3(G) = \langle b \rangle$. Now, let us compute the weak complement of $\gamma_3(G)$ in $\gamma_2(G)$ (see [3], p.34). It is easy to see that, with the notation of [3] (see p.34)

$$P = \gamma_2(G), \quad H = \langle b \rangle, \quad H \setminus P^2 = \{b\}$$

so $\nu(b) = 2$ and the weak complement is $A = \langle a \rangle$. Since G is of class 3, by (ii) of Theorem 3.3 ([3], p.43) we have

$$\begin{aligned} t_L(KG) &= t^L(KG) = t(\gamma_2(G)) + t(\gamma_2(G)/\langle a \rangle) \\ &= 2^{n-1} + 3 \neq |G'| - 2. \end{aligned}$$

Case 3. Let $\gamma_3(G) = \langle a^{2^j} b \rangle$ with $1 \leq j \leq n-2$. Since $d_{(3)} = 1$, by (1) we get

$$\mathfrak{D}_{(2)}(G) = \gamma_2(G), \quad \mathfrak{D}_{(3)}(G) = \langle a^2, b \rangle, \quad \mathfrak{D}_{(4)}(G) = (\langle a^2, b \rangle, G) \cdot \langle a^4 \rangle,$$

and $\mathfrak{D}_{(4)}(G)$ is one of the following groups: $\langle a^2 \rangle$, $\langle a^2 b \rangle$, $\langle a^4, b \rangle$.

Suppose that $\mathfrak{D}_{(4)}(G) = \langle a^2 \rangle$. Since $d_{(4)} = 0$ we have

$$\mathfrak{D}_{(5)}(G) = (\langle a^2 \rangle, G) \cdot \mathfrak{D}_{(3)}(G)^2 = (\langle a^2 \rangle, G) \cdot \langle a^4 \rangle = \langle a^2 \rangle.$$

The last equality forces $(\langle a^2 \rangle, G) = \langle a^2 \rangle$ and so $\mathfrak{D}_{(k)}(G) = \langle a^2 \rangle$ for each $k \geq 5$, which is impossible.

Now let $\mathfrak{D}_{(4)}(G) = \langle a^2 b \rangle$. Similarly, because $d_{(4)} = 0$, we get

$$\mathfrak{D}_{(4)}(G) = \mathfrak{D}_{(5)}(G) = (\langle a^2 b \rangle, G) \cdot \langle a^4 \rangle = \langle a^2 b \rangle$$

and $(\langle a^2 b \rangle, G) = \langle a^2 b \rangle$, which is impossible.

Finally, suppose that $\mathfrak{D}_{(4)}(G) = \langle a^4 \rangle \times \langle b \rangle$ and exists $k \leq 2^{n-2} + 1$, such that $\mathfrak{D}_{(k)}(G)$ is cyclic. Using the same arguments of above, we obtain that $\mathfrak{D}_{(m)}(G) \neq \langle 1 \rangle$ for each m , which is impossible.

Therefore $\mathfrak{D}_{(2^{n-2}+1)}(G) = \langle a^{2^{n-2}} \rangle \times \langle b \rangle$. Since $d_{(2^{n-2}+1)} = 1$ and $d_{(2^{n-2}+2)} = 0$, by (1) we get

$$\begin{aligned}\mathfrak{D}_{(2^{n-2}+2)}(G) &= (\mathfrak{D}_{(2^{n-2}+1)}(G), G) \cdot \mathfrak{D}_{(2^{n-3}+2)}(G)^2 \\ &= (\mathfrak{D}_{(2^{n-2}+1)}(G), G) = \langle \omega \mid \omega^2 = 1 \rangle; \\ \mathfrak{D}_{(2^{n-2}+3)}(G) &= (\mathfrak{D}_{(2^{n-2}+2)}(G), G) = (\langle \omega \rangle, G) = \langle \omega \rangle.\end{aligned}$$

It follows that $(\langle \omega \rangle, G) = \langle \omega \rangle$ and $\mathfrak{D}_{(k)}(G) = \langle \omega \rangle$ for all $k \geq 2^{n-2} + 2$, again a contradiction.

Case 4. Let $\gamma_3(G) = \langle a^{2^j} \rangle \times \langle b \rangle$ with $1 \leq j \leq n-2$. It is easy to check that this case is similar to the last subcase of the previous case.

Therefore the case $p = 2$ is finished.

Let now $p = 3$ and $n \geq 4$. Using the same arguments as in the previous case, we get $d_{(3^i+1)} = d_{((3^{n-1}-3)+1)} = 1$ for $0 \leq i \leq n-2$. Since $\exp(G') \leq 3^{n-1}$ and $3^{n-2} \mid 2 \cdot 3^{n-2}$, by (ii) of Lemma 1 it yields that $\mathfrak{D}_{(2 \cdot 3^{n-2}+1)}(G) = \langle 1 \rangle$, so $d_{((3^{n-1}-3)+1)} = 0$, a contradiction.

Let $p = 3$ and $n = 3$. Similarly to proof of part (ii) of Lemma 2, we obtain that $d_{(2)} = d_{(4)} = d_{(7)} = 1$, so this case is complete.

For $p = 5$, the part (iii) of Lemma 1 gives only one possible case: $n = 2$, which follows that $d_{(2)} = 1$ and $d_{(3)} = 1$. $\square$

Lemma 5. *Let K be a field with $\text{char}(K) = 2$ and G a nilpotent group such that $|G'| = 2^n$. If $t^L(KG) = 2^n - 2$, then either (i) or (ii) of Theorem 2 hold.*

Proof. By (i) of Lemma 4 we have $n = 3$, $d_{(2)} = 2$ and $d_{(3)} = 1$. If $\gamma_2(G)$ is elementary abelian, according to Theorem 1 and Theorem 1 of [5] we have that $cl(G) = 3$. If $|\gamma_3(G)| = 4$, then it is easy to check $d_{(2)} = 1$, a contradiction. Therefore $\gamma_2(G) \cong C_4 \times C_2$ and the statement follows at once by easy calculation. $\square$

Lemma 6. *Let K be a field with $\text{char}(K) = p \geq 3$ and G a nilpotent group, such that $|G'| = p^n$. If $t^L(KG) = p^n - 3p + 4$ then $p = 5$, $cl(G) = 3$ and $\gamma_2(G) \cong C_5 \times C_5$.*

Proof. Using the same argument of proof of Lemma 3, we obtain that $p \neq 3$. If $p = 5$ and $n = 2$, then $d_{(2)} = d_{(3)} = 1$ and it follows that $\gamma_2(G)$ is not central, so the proof is complete. $\square$

Proof of the Theorem 2. Follows from Lemma 5, Lemma 6 and Theorem 1. The equality $t_L(KG) = t^L(KG)$ is an immediate consequence of part (ii) of Theorem 3.2 by [3] and part (ii) of Theorem 3.3 by [3].

Lemma 7. *Let K be a field with $\text{char}(K) = p > 0$ and G a nilpotent group such that $|G'| = p^n$. Then $t^L(KG) = |G'| - 2p + 3$ if and only if one of the following condition holds:*

- (i) $p = 2$, $n = 3$, $d_{(2)} = 1$ and $d_{(3)} = 2$;
- (ii) $p = 3$, $n = 2$ and $d_{(2)} = 2$.

Proof. Let $t^L(KG) = |G'| - 2p + 3$. By (iii) of Lemma 1 we can assume that $p \leq 3$.

Let $p = 2$ and $n = 3$. According to (i) of Lemma 2, (i) of Lemma 4 and (3) it is easy to check that $d_{(2)} = 1$ and $d_{(3)} = 2$.

If $n \geq 4$, then using the same technic as in the proof of Lemma 2 and Lemma 7 we obtain that $d_{(2^i+1)} = d_{(2^{n-1}-1)} = 1$ and $d_{(j)} = 0$, where $0 \leq i \leq n-2$, $j \neq 2^i + 1$, $j \neq 2^{n-1} - 1$ and $j > 1$;

Put $m = 2^{n-2} - 1$ and $l = 2m$. Since $d_{((2^{n-2}-1)+1)} = 0$ and $\nu_{2'}(l) = \nu_{2'}(m)$, by (v) of Lemma 1 we get $d_{((2^{n-1}-2)+1)} = 0$, a contradiction.

Finally, let $p = 3$ and $n \geq 3$. In this case as in proof of (ii) of Lemma 2, we obtain the contradiction and the proof is complete. $\square$

Lemma 8. *Let K be a field with $\text{char}(K) = 2$ and G a nilpotent group such that $|G'| = 2^n$. If $t^L(KG) = 2^n - 1$, then either (i) or (ii) of Theorem 3 holds.*

Proof. If $n \geq 4$, then the proof is the same of that used in Lemma 3 for $n \geq 5$. So assume that $n = 3$.

Therefore either $\gamma_2(G) \cong C_2 \times C_2 \times C_2$ or $\gamma_2(G) \cong C_4 \times C_2$. In the first case, part (i) of Theorem 1 and part (i) of Theorem 2 force that $\gamma_3(G)$ to be of order 4 and central. In the last one since $|\mathfrak{D}_{(2)}(G)/\mathfrak{D}_{(3)}(G)| = 2$ and $\mathfrak{D}_{(3)}(G) = \gamma_3(G) \cdot \gamma_2(G)^2$ we obtain that $\gamma_3(G)$ is one of the following groups:

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_3(G) &= \langle a \rangle, & \gamma_3(G) &= \langle ab \rangle, & \gamma_3(G) &= \langle b \rangle, \\ \gamma_3(G) &= \langle a^2b \rangle, & \gamma_3(G) &= \langle a^2, b \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

If $\gamma_3(G) = \langle a \rangle$ or $\gamma_3(G) = \langle ab \rangle$ the proof was made in Case 1 of Lemma 3.

Let either $\gamma_3(G) = \langle b \rangle$ or $\gamma_3(G) = \langle a^2b \rangle$. It is easy to check that in both cases the weak complement of $\gamma_3(G)$ in $\gamma_2(G)$ (see [3], p.34) is $A = \langle a \rangle$ and $t^L(KG) = t_L(KG) = 7$.

Finally, let $\gamma_3(G)$ be noncyclic. Obviously, $\mathfrak{D}_{(3)}(G) = \gamma_3(G)$ and $\gamma_3(G)^2 = \langle 1 \rangle$, so $\mathfrak{D}_{(4)}(G) = \langle 1 \rangle$ and the statement holds. $\square$

Proof of the Theorem 3. Let K be a field with $\text{char}(K) = p \geq 3$. By Lemma 7 it holds that $\gamma_2(G)$ is noncyclic of order 9. If $p = 2$ the statement was proved in Lemma 8.

Proof of the Theorem 4. Let $p = 2$ and $t_L(KG) = |G'| - 2p + 3$. Note that in [4, 6, 5] we obtained the description of Lie nilpotent group algebras KG with $t^L(KG) \in \{|G'| - p + 2, |G'| + 1\}$. Since $t_L(KG) \leq t^L(KG)$ and $|G'| - 2p + 3 < |G'| - p + 2 < |G'| + 1$, we have that

$$t^L(KG) \in \{|G'| - 2p + 3, |G'| - p + 2, |G'| + 1\}.$$

If $t^L(KG) \in \{|G'| - p + 2, |G'| + 1\}$, then according to [4, 6, 5], respectively, we have that $t_L(KG) \in \{|G'| - p + 2, |G'| + 1\}$, a contradiction. Therefore $t^L(KG) = |G'| - 2p + 3$ and by Theorem 3 we get $t_L(KG) = t^L(KG)$ and the description of groups G is done.

Let $p = 3$. First, by (3) there is no KG with $t^L(KG) = |G'| - 2s$ with $s \in \mathbb{N}$, because $t^L(KG) = 2 + 2(\sum_{q \geq 1} qd_{q+1}) \neq 3^n - 2s$. Using this remark, the proof is the same as for case $p = 2$.

Now the proof of the parts (i) and (ii) of the Theorem 4 for $p = 2$ and $p = 3$ is the same. Note that for $p \geq 5$, the proof is a trivial consequence of the Bhandari-Passi's Theorem [1].

REFERENCES

- [1] A. K. Bhandari and I. B. S. Passi. Lie-nilpotency indices of group algebras. *Bull. London Math. Soc.*, 24(1):68–70, 1992.
- [2] A. A. Bovdi and I. I. Khripta. Generalized Lie nilpotent group rings. *Mat. Sb. (N.S.)*, 129(171)(1):154–158, 160, 1986.
- [3] A. A. Bovdi and J. Kurdics. Lie properties of the group algebra and the nilpotency class of the group of units. *J. Algebra*, 212(1):28–64, 1999.
- [4] V. Bovdi. Modular group algebras with almost maximal lie nilpotency indices II. *Sci. Math. Jpn.*, to appear:1215–1219, 2006.
- [5] V. Bovdi, T. Juhász, and E. Spinelli. Modular group algebras with almost maximal Lie nilpotency indices. *Algebr. Represent. Theory*, 9(3):259–266, 2006.
- [6] V. Bovdi and E. Spinelli. Modular group algebras with maximal Lie nilpotency indices. *Publ. Math. Debrecen*, 65(1-2):243–252, 2004.
- [7] W. Burnside. On some properties of groups whose orders are power of primes. *Proc. London Math. Soc.*, 11:225–245, 1913.
- [8] X. K. Du. The centers of a radical ring. *Canad. Math. Bull.*, 35(2):174–179, 1992.
- [9] N. Gupta and F. Levin. On the Lie ideals of a ring. *J. Algebra*, 81(1):225–231, 1983.
- [10] B. Huppert. *Endliche Gruppen. I*. Die Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, Band 134. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1967.
- [11] I. I. Khripta. The nilpotence of the multiplicative group of a group ring. *Mat. Zametki*, 11:191–200, 1972.
- [12] I. B. S. Passi. *Group rings and their augmentation ideals*, volume 715 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Springer, Berlin, 1979.
- [13] I. B. S. Passi, D. S. Passman, and S. K. Sehgal. Lie solvable group rings. *Canad. J. Math.*, 25:748–757, 1973.
- [14] A. Shalev. Applications of dimension and Lie dimension subgroups to modular group algebras. *Ring theory 1989, Ramat Gan and Jerusalem, 1988/1989, Israel Math. Conf. Proc.*, 1:84–95, 1989.
- [15] A. Shalev. Lie dimension subgroups, Lie nilpotency indices, and the exponent of the group of normalized units. *J. London Math. Soc. (2)*, 43(1):23–36, 1991.
- [16] A. Shalev. The nilpotency class of the unit group of a modular group algebra. III. *Arch. Math. (Basel)*, 60(2):136–145, 1993.
- [17] R. K. Sharma and V. Bist. A note on Lie nilpotent group rings. *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.*, 45(3):503–506, 1992.

V. BOVDI, INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF DEBRECEN, H-4010 DEBRECEN, P.O.BOX 12, HUNGARY

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS AND INFORMATICS, COLLEGE OF NYÍREGYHÁZA, SÓSTÓI ÚT 31/B, H-4410 NYÍREGYHÁZA, HUNGARY

E-mail address: vbovdi@math.klte.hu

J. B. SRIVASTAVA, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, DELHI, NEW DELHI-110016, INDIA

E-mail address: jbsrivas@gmail.com